Material Science Research India Vol. **6**(1), 125-136 (2009)

Corrosion of mild steel in 1N Phosphoric acid with plant extract (*Acalypha indica* L.)

M. SIVARAJU and K. KANNAN*

Department of Chemistry, Muthayammal Engineering College, Rasipuram (India)
*Department of Chemistry, Government College of Engineering, Salem - 636 011 (India)

(Received: November 25, 2008; Accepted: December 25, 2008)

ABSTRACT

The inhibition effect of *Acalypha indica* L. water extract (AIWE) on mild steel corrosion in 1N phosphoric acid has been studied by mass loss and polarization techniques between 303 K and 333K.The inhibition efficiency increased with increase in concentration of plant extract. The corrosion rate increased with increase in temperature and decreased with increase in concentration of inhibitor compared to blank. The adsorption of inhibitor on mild steel surface has been found to obey Temkin's adsorption isotherm. Potentiostatic polarization results revealed that Tribulus terrestris .L extract act as mixed type inhibitor. The values of activation energy (Ea), free energy of adsorption (DG_{ads}), heat of adsorption (Q_{ads}), enthalpy of adsorption (DH) and entropy of adsorption (DS) were calculated. Surface analysis (FT-IR and SEM) was also carried out to establish the mechanism of corrosion inhibitor on mild steel corrosion in phosphoric acid medium.

Key words: Mild Steel; Phosphoric acid; Corrosion inhibition; Temkin's adsorption isotherm; Potentiostatic polarization; FT-IR; SEM; Acalypha indica L water extract (AIWE)

INTRODUCTION

Phosphoric acid is a major chemical product, which has many important uses, especially in the production of fertilizers. Most of the acid is produced from phosphate rock by wet process. Generally nickel–base alloys and stainless steel are frequently used in many parts of the wet process and a considerable quantity of data has been published about the resistance of these materials to corrosion by phosphoric acid solution[1-4]. Most of the previous studies were focused on the inhibition of stainless steel or chromium-nickel steel in hydrochloric acid or phosphoric acid solutions using organic compounds containing nitrogen, sulphur and oxygen atoms as corrosion inhibitors[5-6]. The corrosion inhibiting property of these compounds is attributed to their molecular structure. These compounds contain p electrons and heteroatom, which induce greater adsorption of the inhibition molecules onto the mild steel surface.

Because of the toxic nature and high cost of some chemicals currently in use, it is necessary to develop environmentally acceptable and less expensive inhibitors. Natural products can be considered as a good source for this purpose. Extracts of naturally occurring products contain mixture of compounds and are biodegradable in nature, these compounds having nitrogen and sulphur as constituent atoms are studied as corrosion inhibitor in HCl medium [7]. G.Gunasekaran and L.R.Chaughan studied the inhibition effect of Zenthoxylum alatum on the corrosion of mild steel in Phosphoric acid medium[8]. A.M.Abdel–Gaber and co-workers studied inhibitive action of some plant extracts Nigella Sativa.L (Black cumin), Phaseolus vulgrais.L (Kidney bean) and Cymbopogon proximus (Halfabar) on the corrosion of mild steel in sulphuric acid medium[9]. Several works have been reported using such economical plant leaves extract of Azadirachta indica for mild steel in H_2SO_4[10],leaves extract of Nypa fruticand wurmb[11] and occinium

viridis[12], acid extract of Alllium sativum (Garllic)[13] Foenum Graecum[14], aqueous extract of Lawsonia inermis (Henna)[15] and Carboxymenthylchitoson [16] as inhibitors for mild steel in HCl medium. Literature survey revealed that not much work was done on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in phosphoric acid solutions using naturally available plant extracts. Acalypha indica L, is a member of the Euphorbiacea family, is a small annual Shrub, which generally distributed throughout the plains of India. It has been reported to be useful in treating Antidote, snakebite, asthma, Pneumonia and other several other oilments. Extracts of this plant have been used traditionally in treating variety of diseases including hypertension, coronary heart diseases, ocular inflammation and infertility in both sexes.

The phytochemical components of AIWE has been extensively studied and it is known to have steroids, carbohydrates, alkaloids compounds[17-18], Flavonoids (Chrysin & Gluangin)[19], tannins[20].These compounds have been known for their medicinal properties like antifungal, antibacterial, antioxidant and most likely responsible for inhibiting corrosion. So, in this present investigation, the corrosion of mild steel in 1N phosphoric acid solution in the absence and presence of AIWE at 303 to 333K has been studied by mass loss and polarization techniques. It is aimed to predict the corrosion rate, inhibition efficiency on mild steel corrosion and the thermodynamic feasibility of inhibition via surface coverage on mild steel by adsorbed AIWE at various temperatures. The adsorption characteristic of AIWE was studied in order to access the mechanism of corrosion inhibition and the adsorption isotherm (s).

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of specimens

Mild steel specimens were cut to size of 5 cm × 1.5 cm from the mild steel sheets having the following percentage composition as shown below. The surface of specimens were polished with emery papers ranging from 110 to 410 grades and degreased with trichloroethylene specimens were dried and stored in vacuum desiccators containing siligagel.

Composition of mild steel

Element	Fe	Ni	Mo	Cr	S	P	Si	Mn	C
Composition (%)	99.686	0.013	0.015	0.043	0.014	0.009	0.007	0.196	0.017

Preparation of plant extract

Water extract of Tribulus terrestris .L was prepared by the aerial part of plant collected and dried in air and then Grained. 50g of grained powder subjected to Soxhlet extraction using distilled water as solvent. The solvent can be removed by boiled at constant temperature at 50ú in vacuum evaporator, finally the residue of AIWE was collected. From the AIWE residue the various concentration of inhibitor solution (1, 2, 3, 4, and, 5 mgs) was prepared. All the solutions were prepared with AR grade chemicals in double distilled water.

Weight loss measurement

Polished specimens were initially weighed in an electronic balance. After that the specimens were suspended with the help of PTFE threads and glass rod in 100ml beaker containing acid in the presence and absence of AIWE. The specimens were removed after 4 hours exposure period, washed with water to remove any corrosion products and finally washed with acetone. After that they were dried and reweighed. Mass loss measurements were carried out in 1N phosphoric acid with AIWE in the concentration range of 1mgs to 5 mgs as inhibitors and the temperature between 303 K and 333 K for an immersion period of 4 hours. Mass loss measurements were performed as per ASTM method described previously[21 - 22].

Potentiostatic Polarization measurements

Polarization measurements were carried out in a conventional three-electrode cell. Mild steel strips coated with lacquer except for an exposed

area of 1 cm^2 were used as the working electrode. The saturated calomel electrode and the platinum foil were used as reference and counter electrodes respectively. The potentiostatic polarization measurement was carried out using BAS – 100, a model instrument. The potential of the test electrode was measured with respect to SCE, platinum electrode was used as auxiliary electrode and the experiment was carried out at 303K to333K.

Surface analysis

The mild steel specimens were exposed in 100 ml of 1N Phosphoric acid solution having 5 mgs of plant extract for 3 hours at room temperature and washed with distilled water then dried. The nature of film formed on the surface of the metal specimens was analyzed by FT-IR and SEM. The dried specimens were scratched off and the resultant powder mixed with KBr (1:100 ratio) to prepare pellets, then the pellets was introduced into Fourier Transfer Infra-Red spectrophotometer FT-IR,8400's SHIMADZU, Japan to analyse the sample.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mass loss studies

Table 1 shows the value of inhibition efficiency [IE%], surface coverage (è) and corrosion rate obtained at different concentration of the inhibitors in 1N phosphoric acid solution for an immersion period of 3 hours. From the mass loss value, the inhibition efficiency [IE%] and surface coverage (θ) were calculated using the following equation[23].

$$IE(\%) = \frac{Wu - Wi}{Wu} \times 100 \qquad ...(1)$$

$$\Theta = \frac{Wu - Wi}{Wu} \qquad ...(2)$$

Where Wu and Wi are the corrosion rates for mild steel in the absence and presence of inhibitor respectively at the same temperature.

It could be seen from the table that the addition of inhibitor to the acid had reduced the corrosion rate. The inhibition efficiency increased with increase in concentration of inhibitors and decreased with increase the temperature from 303 K to 333 K in 1N Phosphoric acid.

Thermodynamic consideration

Energy of activation (Ea)

Table 2 shows that the calculated values of activation energy (Ea) for mild steel corrosion in 1N phosphoric acid with and without inhibitor from 303K to 333K. Energy of activation (Ea) was calculated from the slopes of plots of log p versus 1/T in fig-1 and also calculated from Arrhenius equation[24].

$$\log \frac{P_2}{P_1} = \frac{Ea}{2.303R}\left[\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right] \qquad ..(3)$$

Where P_1 and P_2 are the corrosion rates at temperatureT_1 and T_2 respectively. The Ea values were found to be 31.33 4KJ/mole and 14.95 KJ/mole in 1N phosphoric acid with and without AIWE respectively.

The addition of plant extract increases the activation energy as reported by G.Gunasekaran and L.R.Chaughan for metal dissolution reaction indicating that this plant extract hinders metal dissolution[25]. F.Bentiss et.al, explained that the Ea value increased in the presence of plant extract may be interpreted as physical adsorption (weakening) that occurs in the first stage that is important because it is the proceeding stage of chemisorption of plant extract on mild steel[26]. But T.Szauer and A.Brand revealed that the increase in Ea can be attributed to an appreciable decrease in the adsorption of the inhibitor on mild steel surface with increase in temperature. A corresponding increase in the corrosion rate occurs because the greater area of the metal that is frequently exposed to acid environment[27].

Table 2 shows that the Ea values for 1N phosphoric acid containing AIWE are found to be higher than that of without inhibitor. These higher values of Ea indicate that the addition of plant extract hinders metal dissolution and also indicate that, decrease in the adsorption of inhibitor on mild steel surface with increase in temperature The Ea values are calculated from the slopes of Arrhenius plot and by using equation-3 are approximately almost similar.

Table 1: The corrosion parameters for mild steel in 1N phosphoric acid with AIWE from Mass loss and Polarization studies

		Mass loss studies			Polarization measurement				
Temp (K)	Conc of (AIWE) (mgs)	Corrosion Rate (mmpy)	Surface coverage (q)	Inhibition Efficiency (%)	E_{corr} Vs SCE (mv)	I_{corr} mA/cm^2	Tafel constant mv/decade b_a	$-b_c$	IE (%)
303	Blank	159.2502	-	-	-460	260	30	90	-
	1	55.6757	0.6504	65.04	-460	102	40	80	60.77
	2	48.8400	0.6933	69.33	-470	85	45	75	67.31
	3	33.9304	0.7869	78.69	-465	77	52	63	70.38
	4	25.8070	0.8379	83.79	-460	65	40	75	75.00
	5	20.3087	0.8725	87.25	-465	47	60	80	81.92
313	Blank	182.7291	-	-	-465	610	60	70	-
	1	88.6154	0.5150	51.50	-470	282	40	80	53.77
	2	70.5852	0.6137	61.37	-460	270	60	65	55.74
	3	50.1279	0.7257	72.57	-465	262	40	85	57.05
	4	42.5493	0.7671	76.71	-455	235	40	85	61.48
	5	32.2958	0.8233	82.33	-462	170	35	90	72.13
323	Blank	217.0558	-	-	-475	1050	50	70	-
	1	121.1094	0.4420	44.20	-472	510	55	65	51.43
	2	101.9399	0.5304	53.04	-470	450	60	70	57.14
	3	85.2472	0.6073	60.73	-460	420	45	65	60.00
	4	70.5852	0.6748	67.48	-470	380	60	80	63.81
	5	54.4373	0.7492	74.92	-465	320	45	55	69.52
333	Blank	271.7408	-	-	-480	1600	20	80	-
	1	170.6925	0.3719	37.19	-470	900	50	65	43.75
	2	151.1267	0.4439	44.39	-480	820	40	70	48.75
	3	116.4533	0.5715	57.15	-475	740	55	75	53.75
	4	104.6643	0.6148	61.48	-480	720	60	70	55.00
	5	99.2651	0.6347	63.47	-470	705	65	60	55.94

Table 2: Thermodynamic parameters for mild steel corrosion in 1N phosphoric acid with AIWE

Conc. of AIWE (mgs)	Ea (from eqn,1) KJ/Mole	Ea (from plot) KJ/Mol	$-\Delta G_{ads}$ KJ /mol 302K	313K	323K	333K	Q_{ads}	ΔH KJ /mol	ΔS KJ/ Mol/k
Blank	14.95	15.60	-	-	-	-	-	10.79	-
1	31.33	33.14	29.08	28.58	28.71	28.79	-15.57	27.18	0.1851
2	31.59	29.84	27.83	27.83	27.80	27.70	-7.50	27.43	0.1829
3	34.49	35.21	28.04	28.10	27.56	28.00	6.14	30.33	0.1917
4	39.16	39.61	28.17	27.92	27.57	27.70	10.68	35.00	0.2072
5	44.38	45.84	28.31	28.24	27.95	27.32	12.64	40.22	0.2257

Free energy of adsorption

The free energy of adsorption (ΔG_{ads}) at different temperatures was calculated from the following equation[24].

$$\Delta G\ (_{ads}) = -RT\ \text{In}\ (55.5\ K) \qquad ...(4)$$

Where K is given by

$$K = \frac{\theta}{C\ (1-\theta)}$$

Where θ is surface coverage on the metal surface, C is concentration of inhibitor in mole/lit and K is equilibrium constant. 55.5 is concentration of water (mol. /lit)

M.Boukka *et.al*, explained generally the values of ΔG_{ads} upto -20 KJ/mole are consistent with characteristic interaction between charged molecules and charged metal surface (physisorption). While those around -40 KJ/mole or higher[26-28] or smaller[17-29] are associated with chemisorption[14] as a result of sharing or transferring of electrons from organic molecules to the metal surface.



Fig. 1: Arrhenius Plot for Corrosion in 1N Phosphoric acid with AIWE



Fig. 2:Tempkin's adsorption isotherm for corrosion behaviour of mild steel in 1N Phosphoric acid with AIWE

The free energy of adsorption (ΔG_{ads}) in 1N phosphoric acid with AIWE on mild steel calculated from the equation (4) from 303K to 333K. From table II the negative free energy values (ΔG_{ads}) ranging from -29.08 to -27.32 KJ/mole indicate that the adsorption of the inhibitor is spontaneous and also adsorption of plant extract (AIWE) on mild steel is chemically adsorbed in phosphoric acid medium attributed to the donation of p electron by aromatic rings or Non- bonding electron pair of compounds (hetero atoms) present in plant extract.

Heat of adsorption (Q_{ads})

The values of heat of adsorption Q_{ads} were calculated using the following equation[30] .

$$Q_{ads} = 2.303R\log\left[\frac{\theta_2}{1-\theta_2}\right] - \left[\frac{\theta_1}{1-\theta_1}\right] \times \frac{T_1 \times T_2}{T_2 - T_1} \quad ...(5)$$

Where θ_1 and θ_2 are degrees of surface coverage at temperature T_1 and T_2 by the different additives.

E.E.Oguzie explained that the negative values of Q_{ads} also signify that the degree of surface coverage decreased with rise in temperature and positive values of Q_{ads} means the physical adsorption equilibrium is usually rapid and the process readily reversible whereas in chemisorption, the occurrence of chemical reaction at the metal surface makes the process relatively slow and not readily reversible[30]. From table II it is evident that in all the cases, the Q_{ads} values are ranging from -15.57 to 12.64 KJ/mole with AIWE. The higher negative values of heat of adsorption also show that the inhibition efficiency decreased with rise in temperature.

The enthalpy of adsorption (ΔH) and entropy of adsorption (ΔS)

The enthalpy of adsorption (ΔH) and entropy of adsorption (ΔS) were also calculated from the following equations[31 - 32].

$$\Delta H^0 = Ea - RT \quad ...(6)$$

$$\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\,\Delta S^0 \quad ...(7)$$

The thermodynamic data obtained in this study are shown in table 2. It could be seen from the table that the activation energy increased linearly with increasing the efficiency of inhibitor.

F. Bentiss *et.al*, revealed that the positive sign of enthalpies (ΔH) reflects the endothermic nature of the steel dissolution process, which means dissolution of mild steel in acid medium is difficult [26]. O.O. Adeyen and C.Montiealy et.al described that if the heat of adsorption (ΔH_{ads}) < 10 KJ/mole the adsorption is probably physisorption and if (ΔH_{ads}) > 10 KJ/mole the adsorption is probably chemisorption[33]. Therefore, the enthalpy of adsorption (ΔH_{ads}) values indicates that the plant extract strongly adsorbed on mild steel is chemisorption.



Fig. 3: Typical Potentiostatic curves for mild steel in 1N Phosphoric acid with AIWE

In authors view, the adsorption of inhibitor is not considered only physical or chemical adsorption phenomenon in this case. Physical adsorption that occurs in the first sage , then according to hard and soft acid base theory, inhibitors are chemisorbed on the surface of mild steel by sharing of an electron pair of hetero atoms present in plant extract with d-orbital of iron forming covalent bond, leading to the positive vale of $\Delta H_{ads.}$ [17]. It's also observed that ÄS values increased with increase the efficiency of inhibitors. This is opposite to the expectation, since the adsorption is an exothermic process and is always accompanied by decrease in entropy. Ateya *et. al.*[24-34] has described this situation as the adsorption of the organic compound leads to desorption of water molecules from the surface. While the adsorption process is believed to be exothermic and associated with a decrease in entropy of the solute, the opposite is true for the solvent. Therefore, this gain in entropy that accompanied the substitutional adsorption process is attributed to the increase in solvent entropy.

Adsorption isotherms

The electrochemical process on the metal surface are likely to be closely related to the adsorption of the inhibitors[35] and the adsorption is known to depend on the chemical structure of the inhibitors[36 -37] .The adsorption of the inhibitors molecules from aqueous solutions can be regarded as quasi-substitution process[36] between the organic compound in the aqueous phase, org $(_{aq})$ and water molecules at the electrode surface, $H_2O_{(s).}$

$$Org_{(aq)} + xH_2O_{(s)} = org_{(aq)} + x\,H_2O_{(s)}$$

Where x (the size ratio) is the number of water molecules displaced by one molecule of inhibitor.



Fig: 4. FT-IR spectrum of a) Mild steel in 1N Phosphoric acid. b) Plant extract (AIWE) c) Mild steel in 1N Phosphoric acid with AIWE

Adsorption isotherms are very important in determining the mechanism of organo-electrochemical reactions. The most frequently used are those of Langmuir, Frumkin, Parsons, Temkin , Flory –huggins and Bockris –Swinkels[38-40]. All these isotherms are of the general form:

$$f(\theta,x) \exp(-a\theta) = K C$$

Where f (θ,x) is the configurational factor that depends essentially on the physical model and assumptions underlying the derivation of the isotherm [41].

The mechanism of inhibition of corrosion is generally believed to be due to the formation and maintenance of a protective film on the metal surface. The plot of surface coverage (θ) obtained by mass loss method versus log C at different concentrations of the inhibitors shows a straight line indicating that the adsorption of the inhibitor from acid on mild steel surface follows the Temkin's adsorption isotherm. This also points out that the corrosion inhibition by these compounds is being a result of their adsorption on the metal surface. Fig. 2 shows the Temkin's adsorption isotherm plots for AIWE with 1N phosphoric acid.

FT-IR analysis

The peak values obtained from FT-IR analysis are shown in Table-III. The broad peaks between 3200cm^{-1} to 3500cm^{-1}assigned to the presence of a superficial absorbed water, stretching mode of an OH and /or NH[9]. The peaks at 2923 &

Table 3: FT-IR peak values for plant extract, mild steel in H_3PO_4, and mild steel in H_3PO_4 with plant extract (AIWE)

FT-IR peak values			Possible groups	Ref. No
Mild steel in H_3PO_4	Plant extract (AIWE)	Mild steel in H_3PO_4 with plant extract		
-	3600-3700	3600-3700	Stretching mode of Non-bonded - OH	42,45
3000-3500	-	-	Stretching mode of O-H (from adsorbed water)	8,45
-	3438	3438	O-H/N-H (from plant extract)	8, 9,45
-	2923	2921	Aliphatic C-H	8, 9,45
-	2854	2854	Aromatic C-H	8, 9,45
-	1670	1670	$R_2C=N$	8, 9
-	1654	1654	C=O	8, 9
1610	-	-	Iron phosphate	8, 9
-	1596		Aromatic substituted C=N	8, 9,45
-	-	1560	Iron- plant extract complex	8,9
-	1508	1508	C=C (Aromatic ring)	8, 9,45
-	1382	1382	Plane bending vibration of OH	40
-	1357	1355	C-N	45
1272	-	1272	Stret. P=O	8, 9
-	1193	-	Stret. vibration of ether linkage (C-O)	37,45
-	1093	-	C- O	41
1024	-	-	Iron phosphate	8, 9
-	-	1031	Fe-plant extract complex/ salt	8, 9
663		663	γ -Fe_2O_3	8, 9
The peaks between 400 -700 cm^{-1} mainly due to Fe_2O_3				8, 9

2854 corresponds to stretching vibration of aliphatic and aromatic C-H .The peaks at 1718, 1654, 1596, 1508, 1193 & 1093cm^{-1} corresponds to stretching vibration of C=O; R_2C=N; Aromatic substituted C=N, C=C (Aromatic ring) , stretching vibration of ether linkage (C-O) and stretching vibration of C-O. This shows that the plant extract contains mixture of compounds. Almost all the peak observed for plant extract is also noticed on mild steel immersed in 1N phosphoric acid with 5mgs of plant extract as shown in Fig -4.

The stretching frequency of C=N and C-O shift from 1596 to 1560 cm^{-1}and 1093 cm^{-1} to 1031cm^{-1}due N and O atoms to co-ordinate with $Fe^{2+}$to form Iron plant extract complex[42-46]. The peaks at 1272 cm^{-1}(P=O) 1018cm^{-1}(P-O-Fe) indicates Iron phosphate complex .Then the peaks between 400 and 700 cm^{-1} are mainly due to Fe_2O_3 [8].

Scanning electron microscope

Surface of polished mild steel specimen immersed in 1N phosphoric acid in the presence of plant extract (5mgs) were examined using scanning electron microscope model JEOL6360, Japan. Fig 5a and 5b shows the surface photograph of mild steel specimens immersed in 1N phosphoric acid in the absence and presence of plant extract respectively. In the case of blank, the corroded metal surface with etched grain boundaries and corrosion products are clearly seen in fig 5a. But in the presence of plant extract there is formation of adsorbed layer of inhibitors on the metal surface as seen in fig 5b.

Potentiostatic polarization studies

The Polarization behavior of mild steel functioning as cathode as well as anode in the test solution is shown in fig. 3 for 1N phosphoric acid



Fig. 5: SEM analysis of a) Mild steel in 1N Phosphoric acid b) Mild steel in 1N Phosphoric acid with AIWE

with AIWE extract at room temperature (303K). The electrochemical data obtained are shown in Table I. It is evident that AIWE bring about considerable polarization of cathode as well as anode. It was therefore inferred that the inhibitive action is of a mixed type. The non-constancy of Tafel slopes for different inhibitor concentration revealed that the inhibitor act through their interference in the mechanism of the corrosion processes at the cathode as well as anode. The i_{corr} values were decreased with increasing concentration of the inhibitors which indicate that the corrosion process is controlled by adding AIWE.

Mechanism

The composition and the structure of the films formed on iron remains subjects of continued interest from FTIR studies on the oxides of iron revealed the presence of Fe_2O_3 in solutions irrespective of the nature of the iron substrate.
At the interface of iron and electrolyte, the dissolution of iron can be written as,

$$Fe + H_2O \leftrightarrow FeOH_{ads} + H^+ + e^-$$
$$FeOH_{ads} \rightarrow FeOH^+ + e^-$$
$$FeOH^+ \leftrightarrow Fe^{2+} + OH^-$$

At medium and high concentrations of phosphoric acid, precipitation of iron-phosphate occurs at interface.

$$6H_3PO_4 + 3Fe \rightarrow 3\ Fe\ (H_2PO_4)_2 + 3H_2$$
$$3Fe\ (H_2PO_4)_2 \rightarrow Fe_3(PO_4)_2 + 4H_3PO_4$$

However, this precipitation can be weakly observed when the mild steel is treated with phosphoric acid solutions with low concentration. The formation of insoluble phosphate depends on the metal ions present in solutions at interface, concentration of metal ion in the solution and the reactivity of metal surface. G.Gunasekaran and L.R. Chauhan[8] explained that as soon as the plant extract interact with dissolving iron to form an organo-metel complex (Fe-PE) and forms a layer.

$$Fe^{2+} + PE \rightarrow [Fe\text{-}PE]$$

This layer reacts with phosphate ions to form a layer of $FeHPO_4$/ FeH_2PO_4.This reaction takes place in series with the formation of Fe-PE, since it is mediated or catalyzed by this compound, as is observed by the increased rate of formation of iron phosphates. After certain period, the formation of iron phosphate results in a dense layer and formation of Fe-PE will less. This was reflected by FT-IR analysis of mild steel immersed in 1N phosphoric acid containing 5mgs of plant extract[8].

CONCLUSION

The following conclusions were made from the studies,

1. Corrosion rates of mild steel in 1N phosphoric acid decreased with increasing concentration of AIWE.
2. The inhibition efficiency increased with respect to the concentration of inhibitor and decreased with rise in temperature from 303K to 333K.
3. The maximum inhibition efficiency of AIWE was found to be 87.25 % and 81.92% in 1N phosphoric acid at 5mgs of inhibitor from mass loss studies and polarization measurement respectively at 303K.
4. The inhibition efficiency obtained from mass loss and polarization measurement showed fairly good agreement.
5. Energy of activation (Ea) values indicated that the addition of plant extract hinders metal dissolution and also indicated that, decrease in the adsorption of the inhibitor on mild steel surface with increase in temperature.
6. The negative value of ΔG_{ads} indicated that the AIWE was chemically adsorbed and spontaneous adsorption of inhibitors on the surface of mild steel.
7. The higher negative values of heat of adsorption also showed that the inhibition efficiency decreased with rise in temperature
8. The high positive enthalpy values of adsorption ($\otimes H_{ads}$) evident that the plant extract strongly adsorbed on mild steel is probably chemisorption.
9. The gain in entropy that accompanied by the substitutional adsorption process was attributed to the increase in solvent entropy.
10. It is found that the AIWE acting as mixed type inhibitor.
11. The adsorption of AIWE on mild steel surface from the acid solution followed Temkin's adsorption isotherm.
12. FT-IR and SEM analysis showed the presence of compounds in the plant extract react with metal ion to form the layer of inhibitor on the metal surface.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr.K.Srinivasan, Head, Department of Chemistry, Govt. College of Engineering, Salem -11 for his kind encouragement in pursuing this work.

REFERENCES

1. Hart.A.C, *Br Corros. J.,* **6**: 205 (1971).
2. Hart.A.C, *Br Corros. J*., **8**: 66 (1973).
3. Saleh. R. M, Badran. M. M, Alhosary. A. A and El Dahan. H. A, Br *Corros . J.,* 105 (1988).
4. Smith. F and Van Droffeleaar. N .H, Br *Corros. J*., **26**: 265 (1991).
5. Al –Mayot. A. M, Al-Suhybani. A. A and Al – Ameer. A. K, *Desalinatio*n., **25**: 116 (1998) and references therein.
6. Granese. S. L, Rosales. B. M, Oviedo.C and Zerbino. J .O, *Corros. Sci*., **33**: 1439 (1990).
7. Chandrasekaran. V, Kannan. K and Natesan. M, *Corrosion Science and Tech.*, **4**: 191-200 (2005).
8. Gunasekaran. G and Chaughan. L.R, *Electrochemical Acta.,* **49**: 4387-4395 (2004).
9. Abdel-Gaber. A.M, Abd-El- Nabey. B.A, Sidahamed. I.M, El-Zayady. A.M and Saadawy. M, *Corrosion Sicience.,* **48**: 2765-2779 (2006).
10. Rajendran. S, Ganga Sri. V, Arockiaselvi. J and John Amalraj. A., *Bull. of Electro chemistry.,* **21**(8): 367-377 (2005).
11. Orubite. K.O and Oforka. N. C, *Materials Letters.,* **58**: 1768-1772 (2004).
12. Emeka E.Oguzia, *Materials chemistry and Physics*., **99**: 441-446 (2006).
13. Okafor. P.C, Ekpe.U.J, Ebenso.E.E, Umoren. E. M and Leizou. K .E, *Bull. of Electrochem.,* **21**(8): 347-352 (2005).
14. Kalpana. M. and Mehta. G.N, Transacutions of the SASET., **38**: 40-42 (2003).
15. El-Etre. A.Y, Abdallah. M and El- Tantaway. Z.E, *Corros. Sci.*, **47**: 385-395 (2005).
16. Sha Cheng, Shougang Chen,Taoliu,Xueting Chang, Yansheng Yin , *Materials Letters.,* **61**: 3267-3280 (2007).
17. Nahrstedt. A, Hungeling. M and Petereit. F, Fitoterapia., **77**: 484-486,(2006).
18. Annie Shirwaikar, Rajendran. K, Ramgopal Bodla and Dinesh Kumar. C, *Journal of Enthopharmacology.,* **94**: 267-273 (2004).
19. Hiremath S.P, Rudresh. K and Shrishailappa. B, *Indian Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry* (communicated) (1998).
20. Purushothaman. K.K, Chandrasekharan. S and Kalyan. K., *Journal of Res. Indian Med*, **8**: 50 (1973).
21. Quraishi. M.A, Khan. M. A. W, Ajmal. M and S. Muralitharan, and S. V. Iyer, *Br. Corros. J.* **53**: 475(1997).
22. ASTM G 31 – 72, "Standard Practice for labortary Immersion Corrosion Testing of Metals", *West Conshohocken*, PA; ASTM (1990).
23. Quraishi. M.A and Khan. M. A. W, Indian *Journal of Chemical Tech.*, **12**: 576-581 (2005).
24. Chandrasekaran. V, Kannan. K and Natesan. M, *Corrosion Science and Tech.*, **4**:191-200 (2005).
25. Gunasekaran. G and Chaughan. L.R, *Corrosion Science*, **49**: 1143-1161 (2007)
26. Bentiss. F, Bouanis. M, Traisnel. M, Vezin. H and Lagrenee. M, *Applied surface science.,* **253**: 3696-3704 (2007).
27. Szauer. T and Brand. A, *Electrochemical Acta*., **26**: 1219 (1981) .
28. Bouklah. M, Hammouti. B, lagrenee. M and Bentiss. F, *Corrosion science.*, **48**: 2831-2842 (2006).
29. Weihua Li, Qiao He, Changling Pei, Baorong Hou, *Electrochemica Acta.*, **52**: 6386-6394 (2007).
30. Oguzie. E.E, *Materials chemistry and Physics.*, **87**: 212-217 (2004).
31. Chandrasekaran. V, Kannan. K and Natesan. M., *Journal of metallurgy and material Science*., **46**: 253 (2004).
32. Putilova. I.N, Barannik. V and Balezin. S.S, *Metallic Corrosion Inhibitors*, Pergamon Press, Oxford 30 (1960).
33. Adeyen. O.O , *Bulletin of Electrochemistry.,* **21**(9): 363 -366 (2005).
34. Ateya. B, Callow. L and Dawson. J, *Br.Corros.*

J., **15**: 20 (1980).
35. Hackerman.N, *corrosion.*, **18**: 332 (1962).
36. Atya. B.G, El-Anadouli. B.E and El-Nizamy. F. M, Corros, Sci., **24**: 497(1984).
37. Cheng. X.L, Ma.Ma. H.Y, Chen. S.H, Yu. R, Chen. X and Yao. Z.M, *Corros., Sci.,* **41**: 321 (1999).
38. Lkeda. O, Jimbo. H. and Jaumura. H, *J. Electoanal. Chem.,* **137**: 127 (1982).
39. Pearsons. R, *J. Electro Anal. Chem.,* **7**: 136 (1964).
40. Bockris. J.O.M and AJ. Swinkkels. D, *J. Electrochem, Soc.*, **11**: 736 (1964).
41. Atya. B, El-Anadouli. B and El-Nizamy. F, *Corros, Sci.,* **24**: 504, (1984)**.**
42. Gunasekaran. G and Chaughan. L.R, *Corrosion Science.,* **49**: 1143-1161 (2007).
43. Rajendran. Susai, Apparao. B.V and Palanisamy. N, *Electrochemica Acta*., **44**: 533-537(1998).
44. Apparao. B.V and Christina. K, *Indian Journal of Chemical Tech.,* **13**: 275-282 (2006).
45. Meyers. R.A, and John Coates "Interpretation of Infrared spectra, A practical approach" in Encyclopedia of analytical chemistry pp: 10815-10837
46. Kumar.T, Viswanathan. S and Emaranuzzaman. J, *Indian Journal of Chemical Tech*., **15**: 221-227 (2008).